

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,192	0	9/12/2003	Chia-Sh Huang	SIS.0159US (PE-24972-AM)	8305	
21906	7590	06/29/2004		EXAM	INER	
TROP PRUI 8554 KATY I		•		GROSZ, AL	GROSZ, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 100		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 7702	24		3673		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,192	HUANG, CHIA-SH				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alexander Grosz	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after  - If the  - If NO  - Failu  Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR on SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[汉	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{q}$	112/03					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🔀 5) 🗔 6) 🔀 7) 🔀	Claim(s) — is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)🔀	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
2)  Noti 3)  Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/661,192

Art Unit: 3673

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by O'Connor (note fig. 1), Bernstein (note fig. 1) or Sweeney et al (note figs. 1, 3).

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Chow (note fig. 1), Crowley (note fig. 2) and McNeal (note photograph accommodating pockets 18) are cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alexander Grosz at telephone number (703) 308-2498.

Grosz/vs June 18, 2004 ALEXANDER GROSZ PRIMARY EXAMINER